

## MATH2050C Selected Solution to Assignment 10

2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 15, 17.

### Section 5.3

(1) By Max-min Theorem,  $f$  attains its minimum at some  $z \in [a, b]$  and  $f(z) > 0$  by assumption. It follows that  $f(x) \geq f(z) > 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

(3) Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be  $|f(x_{n+1})| \leq |f(x_n)|/2$  where  $x_1 \in [a, b]$  is arbitrary. We have  $|f(x_n)| \leq |f(x_1)|/2^{n-1}$ , and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_1)|2^{1-n} = 0$ . By Bolzano-Weierstrass, there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  converging to some  $z \in [a, b]$ . By continuity,  $f(z) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_j}) = 0$ . (Note that  $\{a_n\}$  tends to 0 if and only if  $\{|a_n|\}$  tends to 0.)

(4) For a polynomial  $p$  of odd degree,  $p(x)$  at  $\pm\infty$  must be of different sign. Hence, we can find a large  $x > 0$  and a large  $y < 0$  such  $f(x)f(y) < 0$ . Applying Root Theorem to  $p$  on  $[y, x]$  we find some  $c \in [y, x]$  such that  $p(c) = 0$ .

(5)  $p(-10) = 2991$ ,  $p(0) = -9$ , and  $p(2) = 63$ . By the theorem on Existence of Zeros, there is a zero in  $(-10, 0)$  and another in  $(0, 2)$ .

(6) The function  $g$  satisfies  $g(0) = f(0) - f(1/2)$  and  $g(1/2) = f(1/2) - f(1) = f(1/2) - f(0) = -g(0)$ . It is also continuous on  $[0, 1/2]$ . If  $g(0) = 0$ , we are done. If  $g(0) \neq 0$ ,  $g(0)g(1/2) = -g(0)^2 < 0$ , so the desired conclusion comes from the theorem on Existence of Zeros.

Note. An advanced result called the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem asserts that any continuous mapping  $F$  from the unit sphere

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\},$$

to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies the following property: There exists a point  $p \in S$  so that  $F(p) = F(-p)$ . This exercise is essentially the case  $n = 1$ .

(12) The function  $g(x) = \cos x - x^2$  satisfies  $g(0) = 1 > 0$  and  $g(\pi/2) < 0$ , so there is some  $x_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$  such that  $g(x_0) = 0$ . Since  $\cos x$  is strictly decreasing and  $x^2$  is strictly increasing on  $[0, \pi/2]$ ,  $g$  is strictly decreasing and  $x_0$  is the unique zero for  $g$ . It means  $g(x) > 0$ , that is,  $\cos x > x^2$  on  $[0, x_0)$  and  $g(x) < 0$ , that is,  $\cos x < x^2$  on  $(x_0, \pi/2]$ . It implies  $f(x) = \cos x$  on  $[0, x_0)$  and  $f(x) = x^2$  on  $(x_0, \pi/2]$ . The conclusion comes from the fact that  $\cos x > \cos x_0$  on  $[0, x_0)$  and  $x^2 > x_0^2$  on  $(x_0, \pi/2]$ .

(13) As  $f \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $\varepsilon = 1$ , there is some  $M$  such that  $|f(x) - 0| < 1$  for all  $x, x \geq M$ . Similarly, there is some  $N$  such that  $|f(x) - 0| < 1$  for all  $x, x < -N$ . On the other hand, by the Boundedness Theorem, there is some  $L$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq L$  for  $x \in [N, M]$ . We conclude that  $|f(x)| \leq \max\{1, L\}$ .

In case  $f > 0$  somewhere, say,  $f(z) > 0$  for some  $z$ . Let  $\varepsilon = f(z)/2 > 0$ , we find  $K$  such that  $|f(x) - 0| < f(z)/2$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, -K) \cup (K, \infty)$ . So the supremum of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is equal to the supremum of  $f$  over  $[-K, K]$ . Now by the Max-min Theorem, we conclude the minimum is attained on  $[-K, K]$ . When  $f < 0$  everywhere, consider  $-f$ .

The function  $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$  attains its maximum at  $x = 0$  but its infimum, 0, is never attained.

(15)  $f(x) = x^2$  is increasing on  $[0, \infty)$  hence any open (resp. closed) subinterval in  $[0, \infty)$  is mapped onto an open (resp. closed) interval. Similarly, the function is decreasing on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , hence any open (resp. closed) subinterval in  $(-\infty, 0]$  is mapped onto an open (resp. closed) interval. On the other hand, whenever  $(a, b)$  contains the origin, since  $f(0) = 0$  is the minimum, the image of  $f((a, b))$  is of the form  $[0, c)$  for some positive  $c$ .

(17) Yes,  $f$  must be a constant function. Suppose not, there are rational numbers  $r_1, r_2, r_1 < r_2$ , such that  $f(x) = r_1, f(y) = r_2$ . Pick an irrational number  $h$  between  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ . Bolzano's Theorem asserts that  $f(z) = h$  for some  $z$ , contradicting the assumption on  $f$ .

### Supplementary Problems

1. Let  $f \in C[a, b]$ . Suppose that  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Show that there is some  $\rho > 0$  such that  $f(x) \geq \rho$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Hint: Use Suppl. Problem no 3 in Ex 9 and apply the Heine-Borel Theorem.

**Solution** At each  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $f(x) > 0$ . Hence there is some  $\delta_x > 0$  such that  $|f(y) - f(x)| < f(x)/2$  for  $y \in I_x \equiv (x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x)$ . It follows that  $f(y) > f(x)/2, y \in I_x$ . (See Suppl Problem no 3 in Ex 9.) The collection of open intervals  $\{I_x\}$  covers  $[a, b]$ . By Heine-Borel Theorem, there is a finite subcover from  $\{I_x\}$ . It follows that each  $x \in [a, b]$  must belong to some  $I_{x_i}$ . Therefore, letting  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \min\{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)\}$ , we have  $f(x) \geq f(x_i)/2 \geq \rho > 0$ .

2. Use the previous problem to deduce the Max-Min Theorem.

**Solution** First of all, by Boundedness Theorem  $f$  is bounded so that  $\alpha \equiv \inf f$  is a finite number. Consider the continuous function  $g(x) = f(x) - \alpha$ . When the minimum is not attained,  $g(x) > 0$  everywhere. By the previous problem, there is some  $\rho > 0$  such that  $g(x) \geq \rho$ , that is,  $f(x) \geq \inf f + \rho$ , contradicting the definition of infimum.

3. Let  $A$  be a set in  $\mathbb{R}$  which contains at least two points and satisfies: Whenever  $x, y \in A, x < y$ , implies the interval  $[x, y] \subset A$ . Prove that  $A$  is an interval.

**Solution** Let's assume  $A$  is bounded so  $a = \inf A$  and  $b = \sup A$  are finite. (When  $A$  is unbounded on one side or both sides, the proof is similar.) We claim  $(a, b) \subset A \subset [a, b]$  and this implies that  $A$  is an interval (closed, open, half-open). First of all, the second inclusion  $A \subset [a, b]$  follows trivially from  $a = \inf A \leq x \leq \sup A = b, x \in A$ . On the other hand, let  $x \in (a, b)$ . From the definition of the infimum and supremum, we can find some  $z \in A$  so close to  $a$  such that  $a < z < x$ . Similarly, there is some  $w \in A$  so close to  $b$  such that  $x < w < b$ . By assumption  $[z, w] \subset A$ . In particular,  $x \in A$ . We have shown that  $(a, b) \subset A$ .